Franklin 1,039 58.7% 610 \$16,840,308 \$42,101 8 1. Rochester 4,215 46.3% 1,952 \$65,978,020 \$164,945 31 1. Nashua 11,016 42.5% 4,684 \$162,955,201 \$407,388 75 1. Laconia 1,959 57.2% 1,120 \$38,875,046 \$97,188 18 1. Somersworth 1,549 46.6% 721 \$25,725,172 \$64,313 12 1. Northumberland 346 50.8% 176 \$6,021,159 \$15,053 3 1.	03% 31% 59% 60% 61%
Franklin 1,039 58.7% 610 \$16,840,308 \$42,101 8 1. Rochester 4,215 46.3% 1,952 \$65,978,020 \$164,945 31 1. Nashua 11,016 42.5% 4,684 \$162,955,201 \$407,388 75 1. Laconia 1,959 57.2% 1,120 \$38,875,046 \$97,188 18 1. Somersworth 1,549 46.6% 721 \$25,725,172 \$64,313 12 1. Northumberland 346 50.8% 176 \$6,021,159 \$15,053 3 1.	31% 59% 60% 61%
Rochester 4,215 46.3% 1,952 \$65,978,020 \$164,945 31 1. Nashua 11,016 42.5% 4,684 \$162,955,201 \$407,388 75 1. Laconia 1,959 57.2% 1,120 \$38,875,046 \$97,188 18 1. Somersworth 1,549 46.6% 721 \$25,725,172 \$64,313 12 1. Northumberland 346 50.8% 176 \$6,021,159 \$15,053 3 1.	59% 60% 61%
Nashua 11,016 42.5% 4,684 \$162,955,201 \$407,388 75 1. Laconia 1,959 57.2% 1,120 \$38,875,046 \$97,188 18 1. Somersworth 1,549 46.6% 721 \$25,725,172 \$64,313 12 1. Northumberland 346 50.8% 176 \$6,021,159 \$15,053 3 1.	60% 61%
Laconia 1,959 57.2% 1,120 \$38,875,046 \$97,188 18 1. Somersworth 1,549 46.6% 721 \$25,725,172 \$64,313 12 1. Northumberland 346 50.8% 176 \$6,021,159 \$15,053 3 1.	61%
Somersworth 1,549 46.6% 721 \$25,725,172 \$64,313 12 1. Northumberland 346 50.8% 176 \$6,021,159 \$15,053 3 1.	~~~~~~
Northumberland 346 50.8% 176 \$6,021,159 \$15,053 3 1.	
	66%
	70%
$\frac{1,137}{1,137} = \frac{37.770}{1,137} = \frac{37.770}{1,$	74%
Newport 1,035 50.0% 518 \$17,970,087 \$44,925 9 1.	74%
White Mountains Regional 1,142 46.5% 531 \$21,464,687 \$53,662 10 1.	88%
Claremont 1,760 50.8% 894 \$35,342,096 \$88,355 17 1.	90%
Farmington 841 48.4% 407 \$16,217,471 \$40,544 8 1.	97%
Pittsfield 578 52.7% 305 \$11,535,763 \$28,839 6 1.	97%
Lisbon Regional 333 45.3% 151 \$6,153,653 \$15,384 3 1.	99%
Plymouth 421 47.6% 200 \$8,188,321 \$20,471 4 2.	00%
Seabrook 745 46.2% 344 \$14,077,440 \$35,194 7 2.	03%
Winchester 437 67.2% 294 \$11,463,561 \$28,659 6 2.	04%
Thornton 195 47.3% 92 \$4,333,454 \$10,834 2 2.	17%
Newfound Area 1,241 39.8% 494 \$23,900,626 \$59,752 11 2.	23%
Ashland 159 52.9% 84 \$3,394,031 \$8,485 2 2.	38%
Campton 303 41.5% 126 \$6,296,767 \$15,742 3 2.	38%
Concord 4,587 36.5% 1,672 \$85,473,497 \$213,684 40 2.	39%
Shaker Regional 1,312 34.5% 452 \$22,786,890 \$56,967 11 2.	43%
Mascenic Regional 1,056 34.8% 367 \$19,075,303 \$47,688 9 2.	45%
	47%
Dover 3,954 30.3% 1,197 \$63,317,505 \$158,294 30 2.	51%
Fall Mountain Regional 1,541 38.0% 585 \$31,106,299 \$77,766 15 2.	56%
Winnisquam Regional 1,421 35.5% 504 \$27,483,635 \$68,709 13 2.	58%
Colebrook 333 46.5% 155 \$8,454,317 \$21,136 4 2.	58%
Littleton 693 44.5% 308 \$16,719,045 \$41,798 8 2.	60%
Merrimack Valley 2,442 29.7% 726 \$40,031,166 \$100,078 19 2.	62%
Milton 511 37.5% 191 \$10,568,758 \$26,422 5 2.	62%
Hillsboro-Deering Cooperative 1,157 39.3% 455 \$24,818,244 \$62,046 12 2.	64%
Bethlehem 172 43.5% 75 \$3,354,986 \$8,387 2 2.	J + /0

District	Year 1 Projected Enrollment ¹	% FRL ²	Eligible Population ³	2017 Appropriations ⁴	1/4 of 1% ⁵	# Students ⁶	Adoption Rate ⁷
Wakefield	428	43.8%	187	\$9,792,689	\$24,482	5	2.67%
Haverhill Cooperative	659	39.5%	260	\$15,029,186	\$37,573	7	2.69%
Wentworth	58	61.5%	36	\$1,571,826	\$3,930	1	2.78%
Conway	1,765	39.3%	694	\$41,743,709	\$104,359	20	2.88%
Keene	3,270	33.2%	1,085	\$67,652,106	\$169,130	32	2.95%
Governor Wentworth Regional	2,371	34.3%	813	\$50,981,395	\$127,453	24	2.95%

Figures not adjusted for inflation

¹ Projected enrollment reflects district numbers as reported in school year 2016-2017 adjusted for expected future growth (based on average enrollment changes over past 3 years divided by 3 to reflect state projections for a decline in rate of change in school age populations)

²% of Students eligible for Free and Reduced Price Lunch (household income <185% of the federal poverty line)

³Projected enrollment multiplied by % FRL (proxy for voucher eligibility)

⁴2017 appropriations most recent available

⁵The amount of state aid districts can lose without receiving scholarship stabilization grants

⁶The number of students that if they select a voucher, will result in a district losing the full 4 of 1% worth of 2017 appropriations in terms of state aid (based on per pupil amount of state aid of \$5,454)

⁷ Minimum adoption rate necessary for district to lose full ¼ of 1% worth of 2017 appropriations in year 1